UCCN Membership Monitoring Reports – April 2020 Evaluation Form

The Membership Monitoring Report concerned covers the period		2015-2019		
Culturalitation or Citar		Tartu		
	Submitting City	Literature		
	Evaluating City	a sub group of the Cities of Literature		
G	eneral Participation			
1.	The extent to which the city participated	d in the Netwo	rk's activities:	
	□Poor □Fair	□Good	□Very good	⊠Excellent
Main observation: [Max. 100 words]				
Tartu has been a highly active and enthusiastic member of the Cities of Literature network. They participate locally and internationally in many well run projects in the literature field. They take part in many international projects and are part of the internal working groups of the Literature network.				
 Did the city participate in one or more editions of the UCCN Annual Conference over the past four years? 				
	⊠ Yes		□ No	
If yes, kindly mention the year(s).				
2016, 17,18, 19				
3.	Did the city host or apply to host an edimeeting(s)?	ition of the UC	CCN Annual Conferer	nce, or sub-cluster
	□ Yes		⊠ No	

If yes, kindly provide the name and the year of the event(s).

4.	Did the city host any international conferences or meetings on specific issues salient to the Creative Cities with a large participation of members of the Network?					
		□ Yes		⊠ No		
If y	es, kindly provide	the name and the	year of the event(s)).		
5.	Other observatio	n: [Max. 100 words]				
	Tartu UNESCO City of Literature balances its international, national and local presence to maximum effect.					
In 6.	Implementation of the Network's objectives (based on the current reporting period) 6. The initiatives led by the city were mainly: (Multiple response possible)					
	⊠Local		⊠National	⊠Ir	nternational	
7.	In regards to qua Network's object	•	to what extent did t	he city led initiative	s contribute to the	
	□Poor	□Fair	□Good	⊠Very good	□Excellent	
8.	In regards to quality and quantity, to what extent did inter-city or joint city initial contribute to the Network's objectives?				nt city initiative(s)	
	□Poor	□Fair	□Good	⊠Very good	□Excellent	
9.	List the city's c [Max.100 words]	omparative streng	ths particularly in	the framework of	its creative field:	
cu	Close contact with the literature society in the city. Strong support from the City and department of culture, with literature rewards and city writers grants and more programs strengthening the literature sector. Prima Vista Literature Festival. Tartu Literature House.					

10.	 List the city's comparative weakness particularly in the framework of its creative field: [Max.100 words] 					
	Language and translation barriers in international cooperation still challenges Tartu with the rollout of its programs					
11.	11. Amongst the various initiatives/projects/campaigns organized by the city, elaborate on one of the best or notable initiatives/projects/campaigns that the city has undertaken in the respective creative field during the reporting period: [Max. 100 words]					
ra	Tartu festival of Children's and youth literature shows ambition to enlarge the audience in the city and race new generations of readers. The program of Tartu Literature House is an excellent example of how to activate groups to enlarge the sector with joint forces.					
12.	12. Other observation (This section may highlight how the city's initiatives that contributed to the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development): [Max. 100 words]					
	roposed four-yea bmitted with the current		an (based on the	new four-year action	n plan that the city	
13.	13. To what extent is the general quality of the activities planned in the next action plan?					
	□Poor	□Fair	□Good	□Very good	⊠Excellent	
14.	To what extent are t	he planned activ	vities relevant to th	ne Network's objecti	ves?	
	□Poor	□Fair	□Good	□Very good	⊠Excellent	
15.	To what extent are the planned activities coherent with the city's cultural and creative capital and its previous action plan?					
	□Poor	□Fair	□Good	□Very good	⊠Excellent	
16.	Other observation (7 the United Nations 203	· ·		y's proposed initiative	s will contribute to	

Sustainable Development):						
Quality of the	Quality of the Report					
17 Is the report w	7. Is the report well-structured and written?					
□Poor	□Fair	□Good	⊠Very good	□Excellent		
18. Does the report meet the prescribed guidelines?						
□Poor	□Fair	□Good	⊠Very good	□Excellent		
19. Is the report of	19. Is the report of an adequate length?					
□Sh	ort	⊠Adequate		□Long		
20. Other observation: [Max. 100 words]						
Overall Evaluation						
□Poor	□Fair	□Good	□Very good	⊠Excellent		
Comments and re	commendations:					
The projects that Tartu has worked on and their vision for the next years shows well how the city nurtures its Literature heritage as well as introducing literature and creative writing for new generations of readers and writers. The city is using social media to connect with younger generations as well as established Literature programs for their audience of all ages. The new Literature festival for Children marks a new beginning of creative work that will reward the City with sustainable development in Literature for the whole of the City as well as book gifts and other mentioned						

programs aiming to support Children's literature as well as reading.

As the report shows Tartu City of Literature is honoring the goals number 4,5 and 11 of the SDG. a for

Tartu clearly meets the objectives of the UCCN and the requirements of being a City of Literature